#### Part (i): Description of State Accountability System

Part (i)(I) the minimum number of students that the State determines are necessary to be included in each of the subgroups of students for use in the accountability system. The Texas accountability minimum size criteria are 25 tests for assessment related indicators or 25 students for non-assessment related indicators, such as graduation, for any student group, and 10 tests or students for the all student group.

Part (i)(II) the long-term goals and measurements of interim progress for all students and for each of the subgroups of students.

		All Students	African American	Hispanic	White	American Indian	Asian	Pacific Islander	Two or More Races		Special Educ	EL (Curr & Form
Academic Pe	erformance (At Meets Gra											
Reading/ELA	Baseline 2016-17 Rates	44%	32%	37%	60%	43%	74%	45%	56%	33%	19%	2
	2017-18 through 2021-22	44%	32%	37%	60%	43%	74%	45%	56%	33%	19%	2
	2022-23 through 2026-27	52%	42%	46%	66%	51%	78%	53%	62%	43%	31%	3
	2027-28 through 2031-32	62%	54%	58%	73%	62%	82%	63%	70%	55%	45%	Ę
	2032-33	72%	66%	69%	80%	72%	87%	73%	78%	67%	60%	(
Mathematics	Baseline 2016-17 Rates	46%	31%	40%	59%	45%	82%	50%	54%	36%	23%	4
	2021-22	46%	31%	40%	59%	45%	82%	50%	54%	36%	23%	
	2026-27	54%	41%	49%	65%	53%	85%	57%	61%	45%	34%	4
	2031-32	63%	54%	59%	73%	63%	88%	66%	69%	57%	48%	Ę
	032-33	73%	66%	70%	80%	73%	91%	75%	77%	68%	62%	7
EL Progress												
	Baseline 2016-17 Rates											4
	2017-18 through 2021-22				41%							

Part (i)(III)

(bb) the methodology by which the State differentiates all such schools. A weighted average of the accountability indicators will be computed from the number of items meeting targets divided by the number of items evaluated. The weighted average will be scaled to grades A (90-100), B (80-89), C (70-79), D (60-69), and F (0-59) and further used to differentiate all public schools. https://tea.texas.gov/sites/default/files/chapter-4-2022-closing-the-gaps-domain.pdf

(cc) the methodology by which the State differentiates a school as consistently underperforming for any subgroup of students.TEA uses the Closing the Gaps domain to identify campuses that have consistently underperforming student groups. A student group that misses the targets in at least the same three indicators, for three consecutive years, is considered consistently underperforming. Any campus not identified for comprehensive support and improvement (CSI) that has at least one consistently underperforming student group is identified for targeted support and improvement (TSI). TSI identifies both Title I and non-Title I campuses. Data from 2018, 2019, and 2022 are considered consecutive years for 2022 TSI identification.

(dd) the methodology by which the State identifies a school for comprehensive support and improvement. Texas annually ranks all Title I campuses based on Closing the Gaps scaled scores. Beginning August 2022, TEA also evaluates overall scaled scores to make final comprehensive support and improvement (CSI) determinations. Title I campuses with both the lowest Closing the Gaps and lowest overall scaled scores are identified for CSI. First, Texas determines the bottom five percent of Closing the Gaps outcomes by rank ordering the scaled scores of Title I campuses by school type - elementary, middle, high school/ K - 12, and alternative education accountability. Texas then determines which campuses fell in the bottom five percent for each school type. Next, TEA rank orders the overall scaled scores for all Title I campuses statewide (without regard to campus type) to determine the scaled score cut point necessary to identify at least five percent of Title I campuses. Title I campuses with an overall scaled score cut point at or below the overall cut point and that rank in their school types bottom five percent are identified for CSI.

Part (i)(V) the number and names of all public schools in the State identified by the State for comprehensive support and improvement or implementing targeted support and improvement plans. Campuses Identified for Support under the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA) for the 2022-2023 school year: Comprehensive Support and Improvement Schools Targeted Support and Improvement Schools and Additional Targeted Support Schools.

Part (i)(VI) the exit criteria established by the State, including the length of yearstatRr B\* folRming.ets in

African American State District Campus American Hispanic White Indian Asian							
		African		Amoricon			
	State Dist		Llionopio White		Asian		



State District		

								Two
								or
		African			American		Pacific	More
State	District	Campus American	Hispanic	White	Indian	Asian	Islander	Races

- Indicates there are no students in the group.
- \* Indicates results are masked due to small numbers to protect student confidentiality.
- Ever in grades 9-12.

#### Part (iv): English Language Proficiency

This section provides information on the number and percentage of English learners achieving English language proficiency based on the 2022 TELPAS (Texas English Language Proficiency Assessment System) data. (EL: English learner)

Total EL in Class	Proficiency of EL	Rate of Proficiency
229	33	14%

- Indicates there are no students in the group.
- \* Indicates results are masked due to small numbers to protect student confidentiality.
- Indicates data reporting does not meet for Minimum Size.

#### Part (v): School Quality or Student Success (SQSS)

This section provides information on the other indicators of school quality or student success, which is college, career and military readiness (CCMR) for high schools and average performance rate of the three STAAR performance levels of all students, regardless of whether they were in the accountability subset, for elementary and secondary schools without a graduation rate. (CWD: children with disability; EL: English learner)

	All Students	African American	Hispanic	White	American Indian		Pacific Islander	Two or More Races	Econ Disadv	CWD	EL
Student Success (Student Achievement Domain Score: STAAR Component Only)											
STAAR Component Score	53	76	52	49	-	*	-	-	48	19	51
School Quality (College, Career, and Military Readiness Performance)											
%Students meeting CCMR	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

- Indicates there are no students in the group.

\* Indicates results are masked due to small numbers to protect student confidentiality.

#### Part (vi): Goal Meeting Status

								Two			
								or			
	All	African			American		Pacific	More	Econ		EL
	Students	American	Hispanic	White	Indian	Asian	Islander	Races	Disadv	CWD	+
Long-Term Goals	94%	94%	94%	94%	94%	94%	94%	94%	94%	94%	94%
Target Met											

Blank cells above represent student group indicators that do not meet the minimum size criteria.

+

				Indian			Two			
				or			or			
Total	African			Alaska		Pacific				
students	American	Hispanic	White	Native	Asian	Islander	Races	EL		



Part (xii): Statewide National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP)

State Le	vel: 2022 Percentages at N	NAEP Achievement Levels									
			%		6						
		%	At or	At	or	%					
			Above			At Advanced					
		Basic	Basic	Profi	cient						
Grade Subject	Student Group	TX US	TX US	ТΧ	US	ТΧ	US				

### Part (xiv): Additional Information - Chronic Absenteeism

This section provides information on the Chronic Absenteeism per EDFacts definition: percent of unduplicated number of K - 12 students enrolled in a